UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Freddie Garland, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Case No. 2:18-cv-11561-DPH-RSW

Plaintiff,

Honorable Denise Page Hood

Magistrate Judge R. Steven Whalen

Orlans PC, Linda Orlans, and Alison Orlans.

Defendants.

Andrew J. McGuinness, Esq.

Andrew J. McGuinness (P42074)

Suite 118

v.

122 South Main Street

Ann Arbor, Michigan 48107

Telephone: (734) 274-9374

drewmcg@topclasslaw.com

Firebaugh & Andrews PLLC Samuel G. Firebaugh (P34276)

38545 Ford Road

Suite 104

Westland, Michigan 48185 Telephone: (734) 722-2999

samuelgfirebaugh@hotmail.com

Honigman LLP

I. W. Winsten (P30528) Bruce L. Segal (P36703)

Suite 2290

660 Woodward Avenue Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 465-7608

<u>iwinsten@honigman.com</u> <u>bsegal@honigman.com</u>

Attorneys for Defendants

Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION (ECF #46) SEEKING LEAVE TO FILE A BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' CITATION OF NEW SIXTH CIRCUIT AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULES 12(b)(1) AND 12(b)(6) (ECF #45) and BRIEF IN SUPPORT

Defendants, by their attorneys, Honigman LLP, for their response to Plaintiff's Motion (ECF #46) Seeking Leave to File a Brief in Response to Defendants' Citation of New Sixth Circuit Authority in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Under Rules 12(B)(1) And 12(B)(6) (ECF #45), say:

- 1. On January 3, 2020, the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit issued (and recommended for full-text publication) its Opinion in *Buchholz v. Meyer Njus Tanick, PA*, CA No. 18-2261 (6th Cir. Jan. 3, 2020) (the "*Buchholz* Opinion"). In the *Buchholz* Opinion, the Sixth Circuit affirmed the Western District court's decision in *Buchholz v. Meyer Njus Tanick, PA*, case no. 1:18-cv-607 (W.D. Mich. Sept. 27, 2018), a case that Defendants previously submitted to this Court as supplemental authority. (*See* ECF No. 23).
- 2. On January 10, 2020, Defendants advised the Court of the *Buchholz* Opinion and explained its significance to this action in Defendants' Citation of New Sixth Circuit Authority in Support of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Under Rules 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6). (*See* ECF #45.)
- 3. On January 16, 2020, Plaintiff filed the instant motion ("Plaintiff's Motion").
- 4. While Defendants disagree with the reasons proffered by Plaintiff for seeking leave to file a 15-page response brief, they have no objection to Plaintiff filing a response brief of up to 15 pages, as long as Defendants are permitted to file

a 7-page reply. This will give Defendants a total of 13 pages (when combined with

the six pages in Defendants' Citation of New Sixth Circuit Authority) as compared

to Plaintiff's 15 pages. Fundamental fairness dictates that Defendants be permitted

to reply to Plaintiff's forthcoming response, particularly since he accuses Defendants

of yet-to-be-identified "errors, incorrect arguments, and omissions" in Defendants'

Citation of New Sixth Circuit Authority. (Pl.'s Mot. at 1.)

5. Defendants do object to the alternative relief that Plaintiff seeks –

striking Defendants' Citation of New Sixth Circuit Authority. The Buchholz

Opinion is controlling and dispositive new authority, and Defendants properly

brought the Buchholz Opinion to the Court's attention in Defendants' Citation of

New Sixth Circuit Authority.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that (1) the Court deny

Plaintiff's request to strike Defendants' Citation of New Sixth Circuit Authority, and

(2) if the Court permits Plaintiff to file a response to Defendants' Citation of New

Sixth Circuit Authority, that Defendants be permitted to file a 7-page reply within

14 days after receipt of Plaintiff's response.

January 17, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

Honigman LLP

Attorneys for Defendants

By: /s/ Bruce L. Segal

I. W. Winsten (P30528)

Bruce L. Segal (P36703)

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on January 17, 2020, I electronically filed the preceding paper with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system, which will send notification of the filing to all ECF participants, and I certify that I have mailed the preceding paper by United States Postal Service to the following non-ECF participants: None.

Honigman LLP Attorneys for Defendants

By: /s/ Bruce L. Segal
I. W. Winsten (P30528)
Bruce L. Segal (P36703)
Suite 2290
660 Woodward Avenue
Detroit, Michigan 48226
Telephone: (313) 465-7608
iwinsten@honigman.com
bsegal@honigman.com